	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/019,483	BENCZUR-UERMOESSY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
-	Gregg Cantelmo	1745
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Gregg_Cantelmo</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Song Zhu.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 June 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed.		÷.
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Discussion was held with respect to a proposed change to Fig. 2 to overcome the outstanding drawing objections. The new drawings filed June 24, 2004 are sufficient to overcome the objection.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		•
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		